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**Abstract**

The study was carried out in order to determine the proximate composition, total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, ascorbic acid content and the antioxidant activity of Morinda citrifolia leaf, mature seed and old seed. In the mature seed, with protein, crude fiber and but high moisture content was at 16.72%, 3.55% and 71.48%, respectively. However, in the old seed, the protein, crude fat and crude fiber was at 51.88%, 18.76% and 14.06%, respectively. In the leaf, with protein and crude fiber was 19.74% and2.60%, respectively. Antioxidant activity of the extracts was carried out using ferric ion reducing antioxidant activity potential (FRAP). Result of the study showed that hexane extracts of mature seed had higher free radical scavenging activity. In conclusion, mature seed of *Morinda citrifolia* has a potential as nutritive food component with antioxidant property.

Keywords: *Morinda citrifolia*, proximate analysis, antioxidant activity, ferric reducing potential.

**Introduction**

Phenolic compounds are essential part of human diet and are provided antioxidant properties. These compounds have an aromatic ring bearing one or more hydroxyl groups and their structures may range from that of a simple phenolic molecule to that of a complex high-molecular weight polymer. The antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds depends on the structure, the number and positions of the hydroxyl groups and the nature of substitutions on the aromatic rings. Phenolic compound can be found in fruits and vegetables for human diet (Balasundram et al,. 2006).

The objective of the study is to determine the proximate composition and functional property for different part of Mengkudu.

**Material and Methods**

3.1. Sample preparation

Leaves, old seeds and mature seeds of Mengkudu were collected from the Faculty of food science and technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia. Samples were ground by using a laboratory blender.

3.2. Proximate analysis was done in duplicate for lipid contents, and was done in triplicate for moisture, protein, ash and fiber contents according to AOAC Standard Methods.

3.3 Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined spectrophotometrically using Folin–Ciocalteu reagent by the method of Singleton and Rossi (1965).

3.4 The reducing capacity of ferric ions was determined ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay according to a modified method by Benzie and Strain (1996).

3.4. All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were done in triplicate independent analyses. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**Results and Discussion**

Proximate analysis for different parts of Mengkudu was carried out according to AOAC standard menthods. Table 1 presents the proximate composition of moisture content, ash, protein, total fat, crude fiber and carbohydrate in mature seeds, old seeds and leaf of Mengkudu. In the present study, total phenolic content for methanol extract of different part from Mengkudu was determined by used the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent method as shown in Figure 1. The FRAP values of different part of Mengkudu as shown in Figure 2. Gallic acid was used as standard compound and total phenolic content were expressed in mg gallic acid equivalent/g extract (mg GAE/g extract). Antioxidant activity as analysed using ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) showed that hexane extracts from different of Mengkudu had antioxidant activity as shown in Figure 2.

Result of the study showed that the leaf extract had significantly higher total phenolic content at 1,794.66 mg GAE/g extract, compared with other parts. The TPC for old seeds and the mature seeds was found with at 146.11±52.01 mg GAE/g and 118.03±34.94 mg GAE/g, respectively.

The previous study estimation of total phenolic content of other plant material such as *L. leucocephala* leaf revealed that the total flavonoid content was found to be 2.933±0.19 mg GAE/g for methanol extracts (Sharma and Chaurasia, 2015). The different of total phenolic content may because of the different extraction method used, different climate, different in maturity level during harvesting and storage conditions of the samples

The plant extracts that contain high total phenolic content also show the high antioxidant activity. However, in this study, the leaves that contain high total phenolic content but showed low FRAP activity. This could be due to the presence of antioxidant compounds such as polyphenols that are not reactive for FRAP activity (Reihani & Azhar, 2012). According to the present study, mature seed had highest antioxidant activity as compared to others. This can be explained with the presence of more phenolic compounds in mature seed as compared with other part of Mengkudu.

Table 1: Proximate composition from different parts of Mengkudu mature seed, old seed and leaf

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Percentage (%)** | **Mature seed** | **Old seed** | **Leaf** |
| **Moisture** | 70.48 ± 0.15a | 11.61 ± 0.02b | 67.74 ± 0.98c |
| **Ash** | 1.05 ± 0.01a | 3.59 ± 0.09b | 1.37 ± 0.19c |
| **Protein** | 16.72 ± 0.32a | 51.88 ± 0.60b | 19.74 ± 0.62c |
| **Fat** | 5.19 ± 0.57a | 18.76 ± 2.35b | 6.96 ± 0.03a |
| **Crude fiber** | 3.55 ± 0.47a | 14.09 ± 0.37b | 2.60 ± 0.05c |

Values are the mean ± SD (n=3); means that do not share a same letter are significantly different (p<0.05) as measured by Duncan test.

Figure 1. Total phenolic content of Mengkudu old seed, leaf and mature seedextracts. Values are the mean ± SD (n=3); means that do not share a letter are significantly different (p<0.05) as measured by Duncan test.

Figure 2: Ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) activity of hexane extract from old seed, mature seed and leaf of Mengkudu. Values are the mean ± SD (n=3); means that do not share a letter are significantly different (p<0.05) as measured by Duncan test.

**Conclusion**

This work has shown that Mengkudu contained high level of protein with low level of moisture in old seed and quite high moisture in leaf and mature seed. The high protein 51.88 % of old seed makes it good as cake for human consumption and useful as animal feeds. The low content of moisture is advantage when the shelf life is considered. Hexane extract of mature seed had higher antioxidant activity as compared with all three parts of Mengudu. Further analysis of the different solvent for different part of Mengkudu should be carried out in future. In addition, identification of compound for antioxidant property of mature seed will provide exact information on antioxidant mechanism of Mengkudu extract.
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